UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,497	10/14/2005	Oday Abbosh	TGP/jma/J45343/US (200512	1487
	7590 11/06/200 ASSOCIATES P.A.	9	EXAMINER	
	MEMORIAL HIGHWA	CHU, KING M		
SUITE 245 GOLDEN VALLEY, MN 55422			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/553,497	ABBOSH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KING M. CHU	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practic	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,5,8-10,12-14,23-25 and 29-36 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,5,8-10,12-14,23-25 and 29-36 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2005 is/are:	wn from consideration. e rejected. r election requirement. er. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/553,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3, 5, 8-10, 12, 23-25, and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franco (2,823,089) in view of Markovics (4,627,640).

In reference to Claim 9

Franco discloses a convenience device comprising an elongate web of material (see Figure 1) comprising a multiplicity of individual portions (11) integrally connected together in series (see Figure 1) wherein the multiplicity, of individual portions each have a generally circular shape (see column 1, lines 64-68), with the elongate web having an overall width (axis running from top of Figure 1 to the bottom of Figure 1), with each of the multiplicity of individual portions having a length along a longitudinal axis of the elongate web (axis parallel to 14, see Figures 1-2), wherein each of the multiplicity of individual portions has an area that is less than a product of the overall width across the elongate web multiplied by the length along the longitudinal axis of the elongate web (see Figure 1 and 2, where the area of each individual portions 10 is less than a product of the overall width across the web multiplied by the length) and wherein neighbouring individual portions are connected together by a frangible zone of material (11a) whose width across the elongate web is small relative to the overall width of the elongate web to

act as a controlled breaking point for when one of the multiplicity of individual portions is to be separated from the neighbouring individual portions on dispensing (see Figure 3), wherein the multiplicity of individual portions are each folded.

Franco discloses the claimed invention as discussed above with the exception of the following claimed limitations that are taught by Markovics: the portions are folded about a plurality of radially extending fold lines (fold lines 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, and 44 are radially extending fold line, see configuration of Figure 7) into a stack with quadrant shape (see Figures 3, 4, and 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics so that the web material of Franco is folded into a stack with quadrant shapes with a plurality of fold lines for the purpose of ease of manufacture and easier to provide a variety of attractive shapes and configurations, as taught by Markovics (column 1, lines 39-43).

In reference to Claim 3

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Franco further discloses that the frangible zone is in the form of a single strip of material in a middle section of the web (see Figure 1, 11a).

In Reference to Claim 5

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Franco further discloses the elongate web is folded at the frangible zones between the neighbouring individual portions (see Figure 1 of Franco).

In Reference to Claim 8

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Franco further discloses the individual portions are folded about a diameter (see Figure 1, via fold line 14) of the generally circular shape to produce the stack.

In reference to Claim 10

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Markovics further discloses that the individual portions are folded about the plurality of radially extending fold lines in a fan-like pattern (Figures 3 and 4 shows that the radially extending fold lines are folded in a fan-like pattern).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 9.

In Reference to Claim 12

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Franco further discloses a dispenser (20) containing a convenience device as claimed in claim 9.

In reference to Claim 23

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 9 and Markovics further discloses that the plurality of radially extending fold lines includes a first fold line extending across a middle of each individual portion at right angles to the longitudinal axis of the web (fold lines 43 and 44 see Figure 3 and configuration of Figure 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,497

Art Unit: 3728

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 9.

Page 5

In reference to Claim 24

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 23 and Markovics further discloses that the plurality of radially extending fold lines includes second (38 and 41) and third fold lines (39 and 40) arranged at an angle of 45° to either side of said first fold line on each individual portions (since 38 and 40 are at 90° to each other and 43 is the central fold line, 38 and 40 is at a 45° angle with 43, respectively), with each individual portion being folded about the second and third fold lines in a same sense, whilst being folded about said first fold line in an opposite sense (see the fold configuration of Figures 3-4 and 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 23.

In reference to Claim 25

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 24 and Markovics further discloses a fourth radially extending fold line (64) aligned with the longitudinal axis of the web, with each individual portion folded in a fan-like pattern (see Figure 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 24.

In reference to Claim 29

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 5 and Markovics further teaches a first fold line (43 and 44, see Figure 6) extending across a middle of each individual portion at right angles to the longitudinal axis of the web (see Figure 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 5.

In reference to Claim 30

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 29 and Markovics further discloses that the plurality of radially extending fold lines includes second (38 and 41) and third fold lines (39 and 40) arranged at an angle of 45° to either side of said first fold line on each individual portions (since 38 and 40 are at 90° to each other and 43 is the central fold line, 38 and 40 is at a 45° angle with 43, respectively), with each individual portion being folded about the second and third fold lines in a same sense, whilst being folded about said first fold line in an opposite sense (see the fold configuration of Figures 3-4 and 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 29.

In reference to Claim 31

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 30 and Markovics further discloses a fourth radially extending fold line (64) aligned with the longitudinal axis of the web, with each individual portion folded in a fan-like pattern (see Figure 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,497 Page 7

Art Unit: 3728

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 30.

In reference to Claim 32

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 3 and Markovics further teaches a first fold line (43 and 44, see Figure 6) extending across a middle of each individual portion at right angles to the longitudinal axis of the web (see Figure 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics for reasons stated in claim 3.

In reference to Claim 33

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 33 and Franco further teaches that the web of material is formed of absorbent materials (column 1 lines 48-51).

In reference to Claim 34

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 33 and Franco further teaches that the web of material is formed of paper (column 1 lines 48-51).

In reference to Claim 35

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 34 and Franco further teaches that the web of material is formed of paper towels (column 1 lines 48-51).

In reference to Claim 36

Application/Control Number: 10/553,497

Art Unit: 3728

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above

Page 8

for claim 33 and Franco further teaches that the web of material is formed of wipes

(column 1 lines 48-51, facial tissues and toilet tissues are considered wipes).

In reference to Claim 37

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed above

for claim 33 and Franco further teaches that the web of material is formed of cleaning or

hygienic material (column 1 lines 48-51, facial tissues).

3. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franco

(2,823,089) in view of Markovics (4,627,640) and further in view of Harsanyi (5,803,249).

In reference to Claim 13

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed in

claim 9 with the exception of the following claimed limitations that are taught by

Harsanyi: two or more separate compartments each for holding the stack (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

modify Franco in view of Markovics and further in view of Harsanyi so that dispensing of wet

sterilizing towelettes and dry towelettes can be achieved, as taught by Harsanyi (column 1, lines

14-16).

In reference to Claim 14

Franco in view of Markovics discloses the claimed invention as discussed in

claim 9 with the exception of the following claimed limitations that are taught by

Page 9

Harsanyi: one of the two or more separate compartments holds a dry stack and another of the two or more separate compartments holds a moist or wet stack (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Franco in view of Markovics and further in view of Harsanyi for reasons stated in claim 13.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KING M. CHU whose telephone number is (571)270-7428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (517)272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,497 Page 10

Art Unit: 3728

/KING M CHU/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728

/Ehud Gartenberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728